

INTERNATIONAL
PUBLIC SPEAKING
COMPETITION
2013

Ideas Are Our Greatest Weapons



The English-Speaking Union



HSBC is delighted to fund the English-Speaking Union's International Public Speaking Competition in 2013. This year, the Bank is again supporting the final in London and also the earlier rounds in many of the participating countries.

In a changing world, the Bank promotes cultural awareness, tolerance and education.

Education equips people with the skills and knowledge to achieve their full potential. It also fosters international understanding; allowing individuals, businesses and nations to develop long-lasting, mutually beneficial relationships.

Simon Martin, HSBC's Head of Group Corporate Sustainability says:

"The promotion of language is central to our aims. Language opens doors, removes barriers and helps people to understand attitudes and behaviour. There are currently 450 million native speakers of English, but perhaps as many as 1 billion learning it as a second language.

With its long history and expertise, the ESU is in a unique position to introduce the English language to new speakers around the world.

The International Public Speaking Competition is an exciting event that enables talented young people to meet, demonstrate their command of English and learn more about other cultures."

CONTENTS

WELCOME FROM THE CONVENOR_2

Themes

Dates

THE PROGRAMME_4

Workshops

Excursions

Heats, Semi-Finals and Grand Final

Accommodation

Accompanying Guests

Funding

COMPETITION RULES_6

Registration

Eligibility

Themes

Conduct of Rounds

Disqualification

CONDUCT OF ROUNDS_7

Heats

Semi-Finals

Grand Final

GUIDANCE FOR SPEAKERS_9

Prepared Speeches

Impromptu Speeches

GUIDANCE FOR ADJUDICATORS_23

General Overview

Prepared Speeches

Impromptu Speeches

Marking Schemes

Speaker Scale

Feedback

WELCOME FROM THE CONVENOR

The English-Speaking Union (ESU) was founded by Sir Evelyn Wrench in 1918. Today, the ESU is a dynamic educational charity with its international headquarters at Dartmouth House in London, almost 40 branches in the UK and a presence in more than 50 countries worldwide. Its aims have remained the same – to promote the value of effective communication around the globe and to help people realise their potential.

The importance of effective spoken communication skills cannot be underestimated. Even in a global village where communication is dominated by Google, Facebook, Twitter and YouTube (the list goes on), the ability to speak confidently in public remains invaluable for people in all walks of life. World leaders in politics, law, religion, business, science and technology all have an important skill in common. They speak with confidence. With the spoken word, they persuade and inspire – often transcending the limits of online and other electronic communication.

The Centre for Speech and Debate (now the Speech and Debate department) was established in 1995 to coordinate the work of the ESU in persuasive spoken English. Today, the Speech and Debate department

runs a myriad of competitions, workshops and other programmes for people of all ages and backgrounds, which focus on persuasive spoken English.

In 2010, the Speech and Debate department took over the running of the International Public Speaking Competition (IPSC). Now in its 32nd year, the IPSC is the largest public speaking tournament in the world. Administered by the IPSC Convenor in London, in conjunction with national public speaking coordinators in approximately 50 countries around the globe, the IPSC reaches over 40,000 students every year.

The IPSC provides students with an opportunity to develop the vital skills that enable them to speak with confidence in public. Not only does the competition enhance these public speaking and critical thinking skills; it also gives students the opportunity to showcase them in an international competitive arena, which makes the practice of public speaking even more engaging and exciting for everyone involved.

The ESU is delighted to welcome you to IPSC 2013. Any questions about this year's competition or the other activities of the ESU should be sent via e-mail to ipsc@esu.org.

Paul Holleley
IPSC Convenor
ESU Speech & Debate
September 2012



THEMES

There are two themes: the theme for national competitions and the theme for the international competition. This year's theme for national competitions is A House Divided Against Itself Cannot Stand and may be used by competition organisers for their national public speaking competitions. This year's theme for the international competition is Ideas Are Our Greatest Weapons.

DATES

The deadline for submitting preliminary registration forms is Friday 1 March 2013. The preliminary registration forms should be completed by national competition organisers. The deadline for submitting participant information forms and accompanying guest information forms is Friday 5 April 2013. Information forms should be completed by participants and accompanying guests. The deadline for paying the registration fee is Friday 3 May 2013.

The IPSC programme will run from Monday 13 May 2013 to Friday 17 May 2013. The heats will take place on Thursday 16 May 2013 and the semi-finals and the grand final will take place on Friday 17 May 2013.



THE PROGRAMME

The IPSC is part of a five-day programme of events, including public speaking, debating and performance workshops, educational and cultural excursions, and a two-day public speaking competition.

Workshops

As part of the five-day programme, participants receive training in public speaking and debating skills from world class ESU mentors at Dartmouth House. The training sessions are geared towards the competition. Training in expression, delivery, listening and response skills are designed to improve the participants' delivery of their prepared speeches and their ability to listen and respond to questions. Training in organisation and prioritisation of arguments, reasoning and analysis, as well as critical thinking skills are designed to improve the participants' ability to write and deliver an impromptu speech.

In addition, participants receive training at Shakespeare's Globe Theatre in London. The Globe workshops are delivered by experts in drama, theatre and performance, and are also designed to enhance the participants' expressive and persuasive abilities, as well as their improvisation skills and their confidence generally.

Excursions

As part of the IPSC programme, participants are taken on educational and cultural excursions. In previous years, the programme has included tours of the Houses of Parliament, BBC TV studios, Hampton Court Palace, a trip to the theatre and more. The programme for IPSC 2013 will be made available on esu.org/ipsc as soon as it has been finalised.

Heats, Semi-Finals and Grand Final

The competition takes place over the last two days of the IPSC programme. The heats take place on Thursday, the semi-finals take place on Friday morning and the grand final takes place on Friday afternoon. There is a post-grand final reception at Dartmouth House on Friday evening.



Accommodation

The registration fee covers bed and breakfast hotel accommodation in central London for five nights (**Monday 13 May 2013 to Friday 17 May 2013 inclusive**). The registration fee does not cover any extra accommodation which may be required by participants or accompanying guests who arrive early or leave late. Extra accommodation must be arranged with the hotel privately. Hotel details will be made available on esu.org/ipsc as soon as they have been finalised.

Accompanying Guests

Students who travel to London to participate in the IPSC are not required to be accompanied by an adult. However, participants are welcome to bring guests with them if they wish (usually a parent, guardian or public speaking coach).

“Accompanying guest” (for the purposes of the IPSC and this handbook) means a guest who has submitted the accompanying guest information form and paid the accompanying guest registration fee. Accompanying guests have bed and breakfast accommodation provided for them by the ESU for the duration of the IPSC programme, and are invited to attend the welcome meeting on Monday, the heats on Thursday and the semi-finals and the grand final on Friday. A maximum of two accompanying guests per participant may attend IPSC 2013.

Any person accompanying a participant who has not submitted the accompanying guest information form and has not paid the accompanying guest registration fee is not an “accompanying guest” (for the purposes of the IPSC and this handbook). Such persons will not have their accommodation provided for them by the ESU and will not be guaranteed entry to the heats, the semi-finals or the grand final.

Funding

The participant registration fee covers the following costs for the duration of the five-day IPSC programme: accommodation, travel in and around London (participants receive a travel card on arrival) and all meals. The participant registration fee also covers the cost of all workshops, tours and other events and excursions associated with the IPSC programme. The registration fee does not cover the cost of the participants’ air travel to and from London or the cost of the participants’ travel from the airports in London to their hotels.

The accompanying guest registration fee covers the following costs for the duration of the five-day IPSC programme: accommodation and breakfast. The accompanying guest registration fee does not cover travel in and around London, any other meals or the cost of the accompanying guests’ air travel to and from London. London travel information can be found at tfl.gov.uk.

Participants may apply to have their registration fee partially or wholly waived or the cost of their flights partially or wholly funded from the IPSC Assistance Fund. Waiver and funding applications must be made in writing to the IPSC Convenor. Funds will be allocated at the discretion of the IPSC Convenor and on the basis of necessity (as demonstrated in participants’ written applications). Accompanying guests may not apply for funding from the IPSC Assistance Fund.

COMPETITION RULES

Registration

The closing date for countries to register for the competition is Friday 1 March 2013. This must be done using the preliminary registration form.

The closing date for participants or accompanying guests to submit their final information for the competition is Friday 5 April 2013. This must be done using the participant information form or the accompanying guest information form.

The closing date for organisers, participants or accompanying guests to pay their registration fee is Friday 3 May 2013. This must be done using the payment form. **The participant registration fee is £160. The accompanying guest registration fee is £320 (twin room) or £470 (single room).**

All forms must be completed using Microsoft Word (or other word processing software) and must be submitted by e-mail to ipsc@esu.org.

Organisers, participants or accompanying guests who are unable to submit their registration or information form and/or make their payment within the timeframe provided and/or in the manner provided, for whatever reason, must contact the IPSC Convenor directly to request an extension or exemption.

All registration, information and payment forms are available to download from esu.org/ipsc.



Eligibility

One participant per competing country is eligible to enter IPSC 2013.

Two accompanying guests per participant are eligible to attend IPSC 2013.

Participants must be winners of an ESU public speaking competition in their country or winners of another public speaking competition in their country, which is officially recognised by the ESU (e.g. that of a partner organisation).

Participants must be students aged between 16 and 20 at the time of the competition (i.e. the oldest possible participant would turn 21 the day after the competition ends).

Participants must be passport holders, or deemed to be nationals, of the country they are representing.

Themes

Participants must write and deliver a speech, the title and content of which are connected with the theme for the competition. Participants may interpret the theme in any way they wish, but may not use the theme as the title of their speech.

This year's theme for national competitions is A House Divided Against Itself Cannot Stand and may be used by competition organisers for their national public speaking competitions. This year's theme for the international competition is Ideas Are Our Greatest Weapons.

Conduct of Rounds

All the information pertaining to the heats, the semi-finals and the grand final explained separately on pages 7-8 forms part of the competition rules.

Disqualification

Participants who breach the rules relating to registration, eligibility, themes or the conduct of rounds may be disqualified.

Participants who, in the opinion of the IPSC Convenor, act in a manner which would bring themselves or the ESU into disrepute may be disqualified.

CONDUCT OF ROUNDS

Heats

The heats are the first stage of the competition.

Participants are randomly assigned to one of six heats (determined by the IPSC Convenor).

Participants speak in a random order (determined by the IPSC Convenor).

Participants deliver their five-minute prepared speech (which must be connected with the theme for the international competition).

A timekeeper gives an audible signal at 4:30 minutes (to indicate that 30 seconds remain), at 5:00 minutes (to indicate that the participant's time is up), and at 5:30 minutes (at which point the participant must conclude their speech immediately).

Participants who speak for less than 4:30 minutes or more than 5:30 minutes may be penalised by the adjudicators.

The speech is immediately followed by a 3-4 minute question period.

Questions may come from members of the audience or members of the adjudication panel and participants should respond to each question individually.

Audience members who are connected with a participant (e.g. a family member or an accompanying guest) may not ask questions of that participant.

No visual aids, props or amplifying microphones may be used (recording devices may be used with prior permission from the IPSC Convenor).


The adjudicators judge the participants in the heats in accordance with the adjudication guidelines and the marking scheme for prepared speeches, and the speaker scale, contained in this handbook.

Participants may seek feedback from the adjudicators, but only after the decision has been announced.

The adjudicators' decision is final.

Three participants progress from each heat to the semi-finals.

Fig.1



Semi-Finals

The semi-finals are the second stage of the competition.

Participants who progress from the heats are randomly assigned to one of two semi-finals (determined by the IPSC Convenor).

Participants speak in a random order (determined by the IPSC Convenor).

Participants deliver a three-minute impromptu speech on a new topic.

Participants choose their topic from a list of three, which they receive 15 minutes before they must deliver their speech.

During the 15 minute preparation period:

- participants are given a quiet room in which to choose their topic and prepare their speech;
- participants may not use any printed or electronic resources for the purpose of research;
- dictionaries and writing materials (blank paper, palm or cue cards, pens, pencils etc.) are made available to participants.

A timekeeper gives an audible signal at 2:30 minutes (to indicate that 30 seconds remain), at 3:00 minutes (to indicate that the participant's time is up) and at 3:30 minutes (at which point the participant must conclude their speech immediately).

Participants who speak for less than 2:30 minutes or more than 3:30 minutes may be penalised by the adjudicators.

The impromptu speech is not followed by a question period.

No visual aids, props or amplifying microphones may be used (recording devices may be used with prior permission from the IPSC Convenor).

The adjudicators judge the participants in the semi-finals in accordance with the adjudication guidelines and the marking scheme for impromptu speeches, and the speaker scale, contained in this handbook.

Participants may seek feedback from the adjudicators, but only after the decision has been announced.

The adjudicators' decision is final.

Three participants progress from each semi-final to the grand final.

Grand Final

The grand final is the last stage of the competition.

Participants speak in a random order (determined by the IPSC Convenor).

Participants deliver their five-minute prepared speech (which is the same speech they delivered for their heat).


The rules relating to timing, questioning and adjudication apply to the grand final exactly as they apply to the heats (see above).

No visual aids or props may be used. Amplifying and/or recording microphones may be used at the discretion of the IPSC Convenor (other recording devices may be used with prior permission from with IPSC Convenor).

The adjudicators select a winner and a runner up, both of whom receive an award, and the audience votes by secret ballot to decide the recipient of the Audience Choice Award (who may also be the winner or the runner up).

Fig. 2

- The chairperson introduces the speaker, giving their name, country and the title of their speech. After the speech, the chairperson invites questions from the audience and the adjudicators. Questions must be addressed to the chairperson.
- The timekeeper records the length of each speech for the adjudicators and gives audible signals indicating how much time has elapsed for each speech.
- The chairperson and the timekeeper sit together at the front or at the side of the room in view of the speaker, the adjudicators and the audience. The speaker stands at the front of the room, in view of the adjudicators and the audience.
- The adjudicators (adjudication panel) sit at the back of the room, behind the audience.



GUIDANCE FOR SPEAKERS

PREPARED SPEECHES

Getting Started

Interpreting the theme

Speakers may interpret the theme in any way they wish, but may not use the theme as the title of their speech. Themes for the IPSC are deliberately broad and do not suggest any specific subject area. Speakers should avoid trying to second guess any notional 'intention' behind the theme (there is none!), and should choose a topic they want to speak on, rather than a topic they feel they should speak on.

Finally, speakers should remember that the audience and the adjudicators will be hearing approximately 50 speeches based around the same theme, so an original or creative interpretation of the theme, with an interesting or memorable speech title, is likely to be rewarded.

Choosing a topic and a title

Many speakers attempt to think of a title that is connected with the theme and then try to construct a speech around that title. It is usually much more effective to choose a topic that they want to write a speech about first (either something they already know a lot about or something they would like to learn more about), and then find a connection between that subject area and the theme. An interesting title is very often something that simply comes to the writer during the researching or writing process (or indeed after the speech has been constructed in its entirety).

Speakers should consider the following when choosing a topic:

Am I interested in the topic? – Speakers should never write a speech on a topic or subject area that they are not interested in. Enthusiasm is difficult to fabricate and without it speakers can't hope to maximise their marks under Expression and Delivery. Conversely, many speakers also try to avoid writing a speech on a topic or subject area that they have very detailed knowledge of, as the inability to get all their knowledge into a five-minute speech can be quite frustrating. For those reasons, speakers often try to strike a balance between the two extremes; i.e. they choose a topic or subject area which they don't know a lot about but which they are interested in.

Will my topic capture the interest of the audience? – The audience and the adjudicators do not necessarily have to be interested in the speaker's topic to be persuaded by the speech. Speakers should try to make their speech more engaging by demonstrating the relevance of their arguments to the audience and the adjudicators (e.g. The allocation of government resources may seem like a boring topic to some audience members until one considers that the topic could be linked to the availability of teachers or hospital beds. Similarly, intellectual property law may be something that few people are interested in until one considers its link to illegal downloading.).

Will I be able to research my topic effectively? – Speakers will need a certain amount of evidence to support their arguments and persuade the audience. The speaker's topic must be one which they can research effectively using the resources available to them (the school or university library, the local library, the internet etc.). Researching the topic area is important; not only for the speech itself, but for the question period when the speaker's background or ancillary knowledge of the issues is put to the test.

Will I be able to discuss my topic in the limited time available? – Some topics or subject areas are particularly obscure or otherwise unfamiliar and would require a significant amount of explanation to make the information accessible to the audience and the adjudicators.

For example, it would probably be impossible to convince an audience that 'The Meiji Restoration in Japan was unfair on the daimyos' in five minutes. The speaker would have to begin by outlining the state of Japan before the restoration, then explain what a daimyo is, and then present analysis of those two descriptions or explanations to prove that the daimyos suffered wrongly as a result of the restoration.

Any background, contextual or technical information required should not take up more than a few sentences of the speech. If such information requires elaborate explanation, speakers should consider refining their topic.

Brainstorming

Initial brainstorm – One way for speakers to decide on a topic is to write down as many words and ideas as they can think of that are connected with the theme in 60 seconds. Another method is to take individual words from the theme (or various different permutations), put them into a search engine (e.g. Google) and see what kind of results come back. A similar exercise involves taking individual words from the theme (or various different permutations) and putting them into an online dictionary or thesaurus. The resulting definitions, synonyms or antonyms may inspire an interesting idea for a speech.

Secondary brainstorm – Once the speaker has decided on a topic for the speech, it is useful to go back and brainstorm again; writing down all the words and ideas relating to that topic that come to mind in 5 minutes. This process will help the speaker to identify all the possible arguments which they may want to use in their speech. It will also help the speaker to decide how best to group those arguments. Finally, it will help the speaker identify arguments which they may not be able to use in the speech, but which may be useful when answering questions.



Research

Once the speaker has decided on a topic for the speech and has taken the time to think about all the possible angles or arguments, they should begin researching in more depth. Even where the speaker has prior knowledge of the topic, it is important for them to broaden their perspective as much as possible, and to ensure that the evidence and information they use in their speech is reliable and up-to-date.

Speakers should bear the following points in mind when researching their topic:

Different types of sources – Speakers should aim to utilise fact-based resources (e.g. encyclopaedias), academic resources (e.g. journals or reports) and opinion-based resources (e.g. newspapers or news websites).

Up-to-date information – Speakers should ensure that the information they are relying on to support their arguments is up-to-date. The internet (e.g. Google) is invaluable for checking that the information already obtained (e.g. a journal or newspaper article) is the most up-to-date information available.

Multiple sources – Speakers should aim, where possible, to have more than one source of evidence, particularly where statistics are involved. It is generally unwise for a speaker to allow one piece of evidence, from one source, to underpin an entire argument in their speech.

Anecdotal evidence – Anecdotal evidence (personal stories, myths, memories etc.) is generally unpersuasive, as it usually lacks clarity, certainty and universal applicability. However, depending on the nature of the speech and the style of the speaker, anecdotal evidence can sometimes be used to great effect (particularly if the speaker's primary goal is to entertain or inspire empathy in the audience; anecdotal evidence can be used to demonstrate the human dimension of an issue).

Key Elements

Expression and Delivery

35 marks

What is the purpose of the speech?

There are many different types of public speaker – politicians, school teachers, university professors, comedians, TV and radio presenters etc. It follows that there are many different types of public speech – a wedding speech, a business presentation, a protest speech; the list goes on.

The purpose of the speech (or the purpose of the speaker) is what distinguishes one type of public speech from another. A politician seeks to persuade the voters. A school teacher or a university professor seeks to inform and inspire their students. A comedian seeks to entertain the audience.

In a competitive context, speakers should always approach their task of speech writing with a clear purpose in mind. Good speeches should attempt to do all four – persuade, inform, inspire and entertain the audience and the adjudicators.

Make an impact from the start!

First impressions are important. The audience and the adjudicators are at their most attentive at the very beginning of the speech. It is crucial to grab their attention from the very start with a confident and flawless opening.

Compare the opening lines of this speech:

“Ladies and Gentlemen, today I will speak to you about global warming, caused by carbon emissions. I will show how the rise in global temperatures will lead to floods, droughts and food shortages in certain areas, as well as disruption to the ecosystem and civil unrest. I will then go on to tell you what can be done to prevent these effects from occurring.”

With the opening lines of this speech:

“Floods. Plagues. Famine. Death. War. Destruction on a global scale. No, Ladies and Gentlemen, not biblical prophecies, not scenes from a Hollywood disaster movie; but predictions for the real world in our lifetime if we continue to pump poisonous carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. By the end of this speech, I will have proven to you how crucial a role we all have to play in avoiding this doomsday scenario. Because this time, it’s fact. Not fiction.”

The two opening paragraphs convey the same basic information (the audience and the adjudicators know the general theme of the speech, and that a problem is going to be outlined and a solution proposed). However, whereas the former paragraph is measured and dispassionate, the latter is dramatic and conveys a sense of urgency. An excellent way to grab the attention of an audience or an adjudication panel is to make the speech relevant to them (i.e. “how crucial a role we all have to play”). The use of single words or very short sentences at the start of a speech (i.e. “Death. War. Destruction on a global scale.”) makes for a dramatic opening (a shocking statistic or quote can have a similar effect). Note also the use of alliteration for emphasis (i.e. pump/poisonous and fact/fiction), the use of powerful or dramatic language (e.g. doomsday scenario), and the contrast between long and short sentences (i.e. the short sentence fragments at the start of the paragraph, then two long sentences, then two short sentences at the end; punctuating the end of a dramatic opening).

An opening that conveys a sense of humour or sorrow (or another emotion) can also be effective. The most effective type of opening will be determined by the subject matter of the speech and the speaking style of the speaker.

Similar emphasis should be put on the conclusion of the speech. It should link back to the opening of the speech (e.g. the problems that were identified, the questions that were posed etc.). All the techniques identified above (and many, many more) may be used to help a speaker to achieve a dramatic or otherwise memorable conclusion. It is often effective, at the end of a speech, to finish with a rhetorical question (something for the audience to ponder during the applause!).

Verbal skills

Speakers should remember that delivering a speech is not like reading an essay. If the reader of an essay misses a line or misunderstands a phrase, they can go back and re-read it. If a person listening to a speech misses a line or a phrase, they don't get an opportunity to hear it a second time (often resulting in a loss of continuity for that listener and the loss of that listener's attention for the speaker). For that reason, when giving a public speech, it is imperative that speakers speak slowly, clearly and loudly. This will help to ensure that the audience and the adjudicators hear every word, and can comprehend what is being said as they are listening.

Speakers should also attempt to vary their pitch and tone of voice, as well as the pace of their speech (where appropriate). These variations help to keep the audience and the adjudicators alert, and help the speaker to maintain their attention for the full five minutes of the speech.

Pauses can also be extremely effective. Two or three well-timed pauses can effectively juxtapose five minutes of constant speaking, and can be used to emphasise an important point or signal the transition from one section of the speech to another. The use of particular language in conjunction with the use of pauses can also be very effective (e.g. "that was followed by a pregnant pause" or "the silence was deafening").



Non-verbal skills

Much of a speaker's communication is non-verbal. For that reason, public speakers must be conscious of their body language if they are to engage the audience and the adjudicators. 'Open' gestures (which help to engage the audience) include facing the audience, and using hands and arms freely to demonstrate, emphasise or otherwise support the words being spoken. By contrast, 'closed' gestures (which often disengage the audience) include the speaker folding their arms, facing away from the audience or hanging their head.

The use of facial expression and eye contact are both related to good body language, but are uniquely important. If the audience and the adjudicators are to be persuaded or inspired by a public speech they must feel engaged by the speaker and must feel like the speaker is speaking directly to them. As a general point, speakers should smile; but facial expression may also be used to mirror the message or emotion being conveyed by the speech (e.g. a humorous quote, a shocking statistic, a sorrowful narrative etc.), adding a sense of sincerity or truth to the words being spoken. Eye contact is another important way for speakers to engage with the audience and the adjudicators, and convince them of their confidence and their credibility.

Movement is another technique which public speakers use to keep the audience and the adjudicators alert. Similar the effect of changing your pace or tone of voice, or the use of pauses, physically moving your body during your speech has the effect of varying what the audience is hearing and seeing, which helps to maintain their attention. The use of movement can be particularly effective at certain points in the speech (e.g. taking a step forward when transitioning from one section of the speech to another) or when used in conjunction with particular language (e.g. physically taking a step back and saying "let's take a step back and look at the historical context of this issue"). Finally, the freedom to move allows the speaker to see every audience member, which is particularly important when trying to maintain eye contact in a large room.



Linguistic skills

Speakers should ensure that their use of vocabulary is consistent (i.e. avoid using multiple words interchangeably to convey the same meaning, as this may lead to confusion). Speakers should also aim ensure that the intended meaning is conveyed by the words they choose. English is full of synonyms (i.e. two or more different words that refer to the same object or concept). Different words, used in different contexts, often conjure up slightly different versions of the same idea. It is useful to examine the use of a word in the media to appreciate the full implications of its use (e.g. Does the US government refer to insurgents as “freedom fighters” or “terrorists?” Do animal rights campaigners refer to cattle farmers as “agricultural workers” or “murders?”).

Speakers should also avoid the use of colloquialisms or slang, not because of any perceived lack of formality; but because audiences at the IPSC will usually be representative of over 50 countries and to use colloquialisms or slang would be to run the risk of excluding certain audience members from the intended meaning. In a similar vein, speakers should

resist the temptation to use overly lofty or ornate language, which often undermines the clarity of the speech. When trying to communicate an idea to a large group of people, it often helps to keep language simple and clear.

Speakers who have spent a lot of time researching for their speech will probably be very familiar with the surrounding issues, as well as background or ancillary subject matter. However, speakers should bear in mind that most audience members will not have their level of specialist knowledge on the issue and should therefore avoid the use of technical, specialist or abbreviated jargon or other unfamiliar terminology (without explanation).

Finally, the IPSC is a public speaking competition which is conducted through the medium of the English language. However, it is not an English language exam. Speakers are not penalised under Expression and Delivery (or under any other section of the marking scheme) for occasional grammatical errors, mispronunciations etc.

Confidence and style

Confidence and style are at the core of effective expression and delivery. Speakers feel more confident, and exude that confidence when delivering their speeches, by following the tips discussed above (having a clear purpose or goal, making an impact from the start with a dramatic or otherwise memorable opening, and using verbal, non-verbal and linguistic skills or techniques effectively).

A good way to practice projecting confidence is for public speakers to record themselves delivering their speech (audio-visual recording and in front of an audience, if possible). This allows speakers to go back and assess their own strengths and weaknesses under the sub-categories identified above. It also allows the speaker to assess the sections of the speech to which the audience reacted positively, and those they did not (and the effect that those reactions had on the speaker's performance and confidence during the speech).

Once speakers have mastered the art of projecting confidence when speaking in public, developing a speaking style comes next. A compelling speaking style is what makes a speaker unique (and what maximises their marks under Expression and Delivery!). Some speakers have an emotive speaking style, and feel most comfortable persuading the audience of important social, economic or global issues (e.g. environmental issues, political issues, humanitarian issues etc.). For such speakers, an ability to convey passion and emotion is a huge strength. Other speakers have a witty, light-hearted or humorous speaking style and feel most comfortable when entertaining the audience; often delving into satire and using rhetorical devices such as sarcasm and irony to great effect. Light-hearted speakers often prefer to use narratives to communicate their ideas, rather than structured arguments supported by empirical evidence. Both methods of illustration can be effective, depending on the subject matter of the speech and the natural style of the speaker.

The following are a few additional tips to enhance confidence and style: speakers should (1) know the opening lines of their speech off by heart, (2) take a few deep breaths before they speak, (3) avoid wearing uncomfortable or distracting clothing or jewellery, (4) take a drink of water before they start to speak and have a glass or bottle of water with them during their speech and (5) remain calm if they slip or stumble over a word or lose their position in their speech – pause, take a drink of water and continue.

A note on notes

Using notes effectively (or ineffectively as the case may be) is often what makes or breaks a good public speech. Most people who speak in public as part of their professional life (e.g. politicians, university professors etc.) usually rely on notes, palm cards, Teleprompters, PowerPoint slides etc., to a certain extent. It follows, therefore, that in the context of a public speaking competition, it is entirely appropriate (and indeed expected) for speakers to have some notes.

The key is striking the right balance between, on the one hand, being entirely reliant on notes (i.e. reading the speech from a piece of paper and failing to make eye contact with or engage the audience in any other way), and on the other hand, not relying on notes at all (i.e. reciting a speech, which has been learnt by heart, for the thirtieth time and sounding over-rehearsed or bored with the speech).

Rather than writing out their speech in full and learning it by heart, speakers are advised only to write out the structure of their speech (see the section on structure below). Speakers should know their introduction and conclusion very well (i.e. learnt by heart), and should know the progression of the points in the main body of the speech well (but not learnt by heart). Speakers should use their notes (while they are speaking) to remind themselves of the structure of their speech and the progression of the points within the main body of their speech, so that they can construct each individual sentence and argument afresh every time they deliver the speech. This allows the speech to retain a sense of novelty and reality each time it is delivered. It also ensures that when the speaker is speaking, their engagement is with their ideas and with the audience; not with a collection of words that have been committed to memory in a particular sequence.

Reasoning and Evidence

35 marks

Statement of intent

Providing the audience and the adjudicators with a statement of intent at the start of the speech lets them know what the speaker is trying to achieve with their speech, what the targets are etc. The statement of intent also gives the audience and the adjudicators a glimpse of the content or subject matter of each section of the speech.

For example, consider the following statement of intent:

“Ladies and gentlemen, by the end of my speech I hope to have convinced you, not only that global poverty must be eradicated, but that it is a goal which is achievable in our life time, and that we have a responsibility to strive for the achievement of that goal.”

Note the three targets outlined in the statement of intent: (1) to prove that global poverty must be eradicated, (2) to prove that global poverty can be eradicated in our lifetime and (3) to prove that we have a responsibility to eradicate global poverty. Note also the insight into the content of the three sections of the speech provided by the speaker (e.g. in the first section the speaker will provide some evidence that demonstrates the extent of the problem, in the second section the speaker will propose solutions to the problem, and in the third section the speaker will discuss the principled and practical reasons why we must solve the problem).

Using empirical evidence

There are various different types of evidence which a speaker may use in support of an argument in their speech – statistics from academic or scientific reports, statistics from newspapers or websites, quotations from academic journals or reports, quotations from newspapers or websites etc.

However, any empirical evidence used in support of an argument should (1) have a reliable source, (2) be up-to-date and (3) be relevant to the speech. Irrelevant evidence, evidence that comes from an unreliable source, or evidence that is out-of-date will inevitably undermine the credibility of the argument and the speaker.

Speakers should avoid using too much empirical evidence. Speeches that contain large amounts of facts and figures or lengthy quotations are unlikely to be particularly persuasive, because the audience and the adjudicators are unable to absorb large amounts of statistics, large excerpts from reports etc.

Speakers should also remember that simply stating the evidence is not a substitute for explaining their arguments logically, providing the audience with certain pieces of evidence in support of those arguments, and analysing the evidence to demonstrate how or why it supports the overall thesis of the speech. Ultimately, any empirical evidence used should support or complement an argument in the speech, not dominate it.



Using examples and analogies

An argument does not always have to be supported by facts, figures, quotations etc. Arguments can also be supported by analogies or examples of things which people know to be true under the status quo (i.e. without reference to statistics or quotations from credible sources to demonstrate or prove the truth of the example).

For example, in a speech proposing to legalise the sale, distribution and consumption of marijuana (in a country where it was previously illegal), rather than citing statistics from scientific reports or quotations from academic articles, the speaker could support their arguments by reference to another country where the sale, distribution and consumption of marijuana is already legal (e.g. the Netherlands). Similarly, rather than spending a lot of time justifying age limits or explaining an intricate licensing system, the speaker could simply support their arguments by reference to an analogous system in the same country (i.e. the age limits and licensing system applicable to the sale of tobacco in that country).

Arguments supported by analogies or examples, which most people accept as true under the status quo, are often even more persuasive than arguments supported by statistics or quotations, the sources of which many people may be unfamiliar with.

Using reasoned analysis and logic

Whether or not an argument is supported by evidence, examples or analogies, the audience and the adjudicators must be given some analysis explaining why what the speaker is saying is true and why what the speaker is saying supports the overall thesis of the speech.

When making an argument, speakers should try to avoid making assertions, assumptions or other errors in logic. Evidence, analogies, examples or other facts should be presented in a logical order such that they support the argument being made and lead to an obvious or logical conclusion. Crucially, each statement of fact or opinion should follow logically from the previous one and support the overall argument. Speakers should avoid presenting a series of seemingly disconnected statements.

For example, a good deductive argument goes:

1. All men are mortal.
2. Socrates was a man.
3. Therefore, Socrates was mortal.

Whereas, a bad deductive argument goes:

1. All men are mortal.
2. Socrates was a man.
3. Therefore, all men are like Socrates.





Dealing with conflicting evidence and opinions

Most speakers try to give speeches on issues which are topical and interesting. Many of those issues will be unresolved or debatable. There will be arguments on both sides. During research, speakers will discover evidence or other information which does not support the conclusion of their speech or with which they disagree.

This evidence or information should not be ignored! An interesting speaker will invariably make statements which are bold or controversial. A brave speaker will acknowledge the existence of evidence or opinion contrary to the conclusion of their own speech and utilise their persuasive skills and their own evidence to persuade the audience of their credibility and the truth of their own arguments.

There are a number of ways to challenge or undermine pieces of evidence or information which support a conclusion contrary to that presented in the speech. The speaker may argue that the evidence is out-of-date or that the source of the evidence is unreliable (e.g. blogs by unknown persons are usually unreliable, as is anecdotal evidence generally). The speaker may argue that the evidence is irrelevant (e.g. because it relates to a specific country or a specific set of circumstances not applicable to the speech). The speaker may also argue that the evidence fails to take account of other issues (e.g. unavoidable practical obstacles may negate the possibility of implementing a solution to a problem which is sound in principle).

It is important to remember that audiences aren't passive. They are made up of people who also have opinions about the things they see and hear in the world around them. Ultimately, an audience is more likely to be persuaded by a speaker who understands and has engaged with both sides of an argument, but can still justify their stance on one side or the other.

Credibility is key!

Credibility is an important part of public speaking. This doesn't mean being the most knowledgeable or qualified person in the room; it means presenting strong, logical arguments in support of your position (remember that the audience and the adjudicators probably won't have detailed knowledge or experience of the issues relevant to the speech either).

Just as mastering all the elements of Expression and Delivery leads to a confident speaker; mastering all the elements of Reasoning and Evidence leads to a credible speaker.

Organisation and Prioritisation

15 marks

Why structure is important

An audience is made up of people. An adjudication panel is made up of people. Most people have relatively short attention spans. For that reason, if a speaker stands up, starts speaking and continues to speak constantly for five minutes, most people (including audiences and adjudicators) will tune out after about 2 minutes.

Public speakers' use structure to help maintain their listeners' attention. By telling the audience and the adjudicators at the start what they can expect to hear, presenting the arguments in order of priority, gravity or importance, and reiterating what they have heard at the end, the speaker gives their speech a sense of symmetry or unity and compounds the arguments in the minds of the audience and the adjudicators.

Structure can also be used by speakers to make their speech more interesting (and therefore easier to follow). For example, many speakers group their points or arguments into categories at the start of their speech (e.g. principled arguments and practical arguments). Another example is when speakers give each group of points or arguments a label which is part of a theme that runs right throughout the speech (e.g. the theme of the speech is "the passage of time" and the three points are labelled "past" "present" and "future" or the theme of the speech is "questions answered" and the three points are labelled "what," "when," and "how.>").

Using structure creatively (e.g. by categorising arguments in an interesting way or by giving the structure a theme) allows the speaker to incorporate their own speaking style into their structure and maximise their marks under Organisation and Prioritisation.

The outline of a typical speech

Introduction – The speaker should tell the audience who they are, what they are speaking about, why, and what they want to have achieved or proven by the end of the speech. A map of the main points in the speech should be provided. Each point should be given a label (see above) and perhaps a brief explanation of what will be analysed.

Main Arguments – The speaker should then move onto to the main points of the speech, remembering to deal with each point in order of priority (in the same order they were listed in the introduction), and remembering to signal to the audience when they are moving from one point to the next (this is signposting or flagging).

Conclusion – The speaker should tie together all the main points of the speech at the end, remembering to refer back to the introduction (in particular, to any specific targets or goals that the speaker intended to achieve or prove). The conclusion should not be a simple re-statement of the speech; rather, it should be a comprehensive but succinct summary of all the main strands of the speech in support of the overall thesis of the speech.

NB: The outline described above is just one way of structuring a speech. Speakers will not lose marks under Organisation or Prioritisation just because they structure their speech or organise their points in a slightly different manner to the one presented above. In particular, the structure outlined above is not always suitable for speakers who prefer to use a narrative as a method of illustration. Crucially, the speech must be easy for the audience and the adjudicators to follow and understand. Speakers who achieve that aim in an interesting way will receive good marks under Organisation and Prioritisation.

Using notes effectively

Having a speech that is well structured makes it much easier for speakers to make their notes and refer to their notes during the speech. Some speakers prefer to use palm cards or cue cards and other speakers prefer to use sheets of paper. Either approach is acceptable and both have their advantages and disadvantages.

Speakers who use palm cards or cue cards can have one colour card for their introduction or opening statement (which they will usually write out in full, particularly if it contains a quotation or a statistic), another two or three colours for the two or three main points of their speech (usually speakers will not write out the arguments in the main sections in full but will have key words to remind them of the progression of their arguments, as well as any statistics or quotations in support of those arguments), and another colour card for their conclusion or summary (which, again, should contain all the main strands of the speech and may be written out in full, particularly if it contains a quotation or a statistic).

Speakers who use sheets of paper can have three sheets of paper, one for each of the main sections of their speech. The title of each sheet of paper could be the title (or 'label') of that section. Speakers could also have another sheet of paper with the text of the introduction and/or conclusion written out in full.

The advantage of palm or cue cards is that they are generally smaller than sheets of paper, making it easier for speakers to hold the cards in one hand while still having the freedom to move and gesture with ease. The disadvantage of using cards is that they may get mixed up resulting in the speaker losing their position in the speech (colour coding or numbering cards helps to avoid this). The advantage of using sheets of paper is that the speaker can put more supporting information on the sheet if they wish, and all the information pertaining to one argument is available to the speaker, on one sheet, at a glance. The disadvantage of using sheets of paper is that they can be cumbersome and distracting, making it more difficult for the speaker to move and gesticulate easily.

Timing

Timing goes hand-in-hand with structure and notes. Once a speaker has established a good structure for their speech and has found the method of using notes which works best for them, it's important to practice delivering the speech within the five minutes allowed.

A good speaker will know exactly how long they are going to spend on each section of their speech (i.e. introduction, main sections and conclusion). Some speakers will write timings on each card or sheet of paper so that they know when they have to move on to the next section. Speakers should try to ensure that they spend a similar amount of time on sections of the speech of similar importance (i.e. if a speaker identifies two important points that they want to cover in their introduction and then spends 3 minutes on the first point and 30 seconds on the second point, the adjudicators will assume that the speaker simply ran out of time for the second point – which suggests insufficient preparation).

Speakers should practice speaking for one minute, two minutes, three minutes etc., so that they know what it feels like to speak for different blocks of time and how much information they are able to cover in those blocks of time (speakers should also remember to speak extra slowly when practicing, to train themselves to speak slowly during the competition).

Finally, there will be a timekeeper at all stages of the competition, who will give audible signals to indicate how much time has elapsed. However, it is entirely appropriate for speakers to have a stopwatch or another electronic timing device with them when they get up to speak.

Listening and Response

15 marks

Answering Questions

Most public speakers have to justify the arguments made in their speech at some stage (e.g. school teachers, university professors, politicians etc.). The question period after the speech is designed to test the speaker's knowledge of the surrounding issues, as well as their ability to listen and respond to questions, justifying the position they have taken in their speech.

As part of their preparation, speakers should have considered alternative points of view to those presented in their speech and considered how best to respond to those alternative points of view if presented in the form of a question (questions from the audience and the adjudicators are generally not combative – this is not a debating competition – but speakers may be asked to justify their views).

Speakers should always listen to the question that is actually asked and avoid giving prepared answers to anticipated questions. Speakers frequently have questions put to them which they did not anticipate. Speakers should start thinking about the answer as the question is being put to them (while remembering to listen all the way to the end), but should never answer the question immediately after it has been asked. It is important to pause for a moment or two, consider again the question that was actually asked, and make sure that the answer being given is relevant to that question.

When answering questions, speakers should avoid re-stating sections of their speech verbatim. The question period is a great opportunity for speakers to demonstrate extra knowledge (perhaps an extra piece of evidence that there wasn't room to include in the speech). However, answers should always be relevant to the question asked and ultimately support the position taken in the speech.

Questions from the audience are often lengthy and convoluted, which can make it difficult to establish what the audience member or adjudicator is actually asking. Speakers should take a moment to try and break down what the questioner has said in their head. Speakers should also be willing to ask the questioner to repeat the question in a shorter or simpler form if necessary (if the speaker didn't understand the question, there's a good chance that at least some other audience members or adjudicators didn't understand it either!).

The question period only last for 3-4 minutes. Speakers should not feel obliged to give lengthy answers to questions, even where the question itself was lengthy or convoluted. The best answers to questions are usually brief, succinct and to the point. Lengthy answers often lose the attention of the audience and the adjudicators.

Finally, all the tips given under Expression and Delivery (above) apply to the question period exactly as they apply to the speech. It's important to continue to use body language and eye contact etc. effectively during the question period, and maintain confidence generally. Speakers may be asked to justify their position during the question period, but should avoid becoming defensive or entering into a debate with a particular questioner.



IMPROMPTU SPEECHES

Getting Started

Choosing a topic

At the semi-finals stage of the competition, speakers only have 15 minutes in which to choose their topic and prepare their speech. All the topics will be relatively broad and specialist knowledge will not be expected by the adjudicators. Speakers can interpret the topic as narrowly or as broadly as they wish and can speak for or against the topic. Speakers should choose the topic that they know the most about, and the topic with which they think they can do something interesting or original.

Brainstorming

During the 15 minute preparation period, speakers will not have the time to engage in the same level of detailed brainstorming or research as that described above (in the Prepared Speeches section). However, speakers should take 1-2 minutes at the start of the preparation period, after they have selected their topic, to write down as many words and ideas as they can think of which relate to their chosen topic.

This will help inspire ideas regarding how best to group or categorise points (bearing in mind that in three minutes the speaker will probably only have time to make one or two points), and how best to approach the topic (e.g. using the narrative as a method of illustration, taking a satirical approach to the issue, giving a hard-hitting, critical or passionate account of a serious issue etc.).



Key Elements

Expression and Delivery

40 marks

All of the above

All the guidelines and tips outlined above under Expression and Delivery (having a clear purpose, making an impact from the start with an interesting opening, making use of verbal, non-verbal and linguistic skills, and demonstrating a sense of confidence and style) all apply to impromptu speeches exactly as they apply to prepared speeches.

Speakers should also remember that, with only 15 minutes to prepare, they are not expected to have a fully written out speech and should not spend their preparation time attempting to write out a speech in full. However, speakers should make some notes for reference during their speech. They should focus on having a good introduction and conclusion (and thinking about how best to deliver those sections), and they should think about the one or two main points that are going to form that main section of the speech (bearing in mind that if the introduction takes 30 seconds and the conclusion takes 30 seconds, that only leaves approximately 2 minutes for the main section of the speech).

Confidence is key!

The most important thing to remember about the semi-finals stage of the competition is that it is not a test of the speaker's knowledge and it is not an English language exam. The adjudicators are looking for the speaker who can take a broad, general topic and do something original or interesting with it. Speakers who give an engaging speech, the content of which is somehow related to the topic, with confidence and style are likely to score highly under Expression and Delivery.

Reasoning and Analysis

40 marks

Using examples, analogies, reasoned analysis and logic

The audience and the adjudicators know that the speaker has only had 15 minutes to prepare their remarks. To that end, the speaker is not expected to have statistics, quotations etc. in support of any arguments they make. However, speakers who use examples and analogies effectively to support their arguments, and speakers who are able to explain their arguments in a logical manner, are likely to be persuasive and be rewarded under Reasoning and Analysis.

Be original and keep it simple!

As has been outlined above, the semi-finals stage of the competition is not a test of the speaker's knowledge. While the Reasoning and Analysis section focuses on content (as opposed to style), the 'content' that the adjudicators are looking for is something original and interesting. Speakers should try to avoid overly complex or convoluted arguments.

For example, a speaker who chose the topic "men and women will never be equal" could take a satirical view of stereotypically masculine roles and stereotypically feminine roles and comment on the ways in which those roles have (or have not) changed with the passing of time. Speakers should also remember that they are free to speak for or against the topic as it is phrased.

Similarly, a speaker who chose the topic "democracy is the worst form of government" could give a passionate account of what it means to 'stand up and be counted,' what it means to have your voice heard and your vote acknowledged, what it means to play a part in shaping the society you live in for future generations etc. Again, speakers should always remember that they are free to disagree with the topic as it is phrased.

Organisation and Prioritisation

20 marks

All of the above

All the guidelines and tips outlined above under Organisation and Prioritisation (the importance of good structure, using structure creatively, having a strong introduction and conclusion, signposting, using notes effectively, and managing time) apply to impromptu speeches exactly as they apply to prepared speeches.

Deciding on the structure of the speech early in the preparation period makes it easier for speakers to plan what they are going to say and ensure that they speak for the full three minutes. For example, it may be daunting for a speaker to think that they have to speak for 3 minutes continuously on any given topic. However, it is much less daunting if the speaker divides up their time and considers that they only have to speak for 30 seconds on their introduction, 60 seconds on their first point, 60 seconds on their second point and 30 seconds on their summary or conclusion (for example). Once speakers have practised giving impromptu speeches, they will find that it is actually quite difficult to speak on any topic for less than three minutes!

GUIDANCE FOR ADJUDICATORS

General Overview

Participants and spectators must be confident in the competence of the adjudicators if they are to accept their decisions and take their advice on board. For that reason, adjudication should be as professional as possible at all stages of the competition.

At the IPSC, the adjudication panels for the heats and semi-finals are made up of public speaking and debating coaches, university students who have competed in public speaking and debating competitions at school and university level, and IPSC alumni (i.e. those who have competed in the IPSC in previous years). The adjudication panel for the grand final of the IPSC is made up of accomplished public speakers and communications experts, many of whom use their oratorical and persuasive skills as part of their professional lives (e.g. TV and radio presenters, lawyers etc.).



At all stages of the competition, adjudicators should be mindful of the distinction between a prepared speech and an impromptu speech. Specific guidelines for adjudicating both types of speech are set out separately below. However, the following overarching principles should be borne in mind by adjudicators when adjudicating either type of public speech:

Appearance – Does the speaker have a confident and commanding presence on the platform or at the podium? A good public speaker will utilise body language, facial expression, eye contact and gestures effectively to engage the audience and the adjudicators.

Audibility – Can the speaker be heard? A good public speaker will speak slowly, clearly and loudly and will utilise a range of verbal skills such as varying their pace, pitch and tone of voice to maintain the attention of the audience and the adjudicators.

Argument – Has the speaker delivered a speech, which is persuasive, informative, inspiring and/or entertaining? A good speech will be well structured, the arguments will be presented in a coherent and logical manner, and the content of each argument will be supported by some form of evidence or analysis.

Audience – Has the speaker effectively engaged with and built a rapport with the audience? A good public speaker will utilise a range of verbal, non-verbal and linguistic skills, as well as the structure and content of their speech, to maintain the attention and interest of the audience.

Adaptability – Has the speaker demonstrated an ability to think on their feet? A good public speaker will not sound over-rehearsed, and will demonstrate adaptability by (for example) pausing their speech to allow for an unanticipated interruption (e.g. applause or laughter from the audience), making a spontaneous or unscripted comment or argument where appropriate and/or responding to questions confidently and without recourse to the text of the original speech.

Prepared Speeches

Notwithstanding the general principles laid out above, when adjudicating a prepared speech adjudicators should consider, in particular, the guidance for speakers for prepared speeches set out on pages 9-20 of this handbook (and should consider those pages part of the adjudication guidelines for prepared speeches).

The key point for adjudicators to bear in mind for the heats and the grand final is that all speakers will have had a considerable amount of time to interpret the theme, choose a topic and a title, research the topic, write a speech and practice delivering that speech.

It should be evident from the speech that the speaker has researched and thought about the chosen topic, and the arguments in the speech should be supported by an appropriate level of evidence and/or analysis. It should be evident from the question period that the speaker has a reasonable level of background and/or ancillary knowledge relating to the topic. Speakers who demonstrate an ability to reinforce their arguments by reference to additional evidence or analysis, not contained in their speech, should be rewarded.

It should also be evident from the speech that the speaker has not learnt their speech word for word. Speakers who demonstrate a sense of spontaneity, while also appearing prepared (making effective use of notes if necessary), should be rewarded.

Finally, the IPSC is not an English language exam. Even when adjudicating speakers who have had a considerable amount of time to prepare their speeches; adjudicators should not penalise speakers for occasional grammatical errors, mispronunciations etc.

Impromptu Speeches

Notwithstanding the general principles laid out above, when adjudicating an impromptu speech adjudicators should consider, in particular, the guidance for speakers for impromptu speeches set out on pages 21-22 of this handbook (and should consider those pages part of the adjudication guidelines for impromptu speeches).

The key point for adjudicators to bear in mind for the semi-finals is that speakers have only had 15 minutes to choose their topic (from a possible list of three) and prepare their speech on that topic. It should be evident from the speech that the speaker has made an effort to do something interesting or original with the topic. Speakers who deliver a well structured speech in a confident and stylistic way should be rewarded. It should also be evident from the speech that the speaker has made an effort to introduce some examples, analogies or analysis in support of their speech; but adjudicators should not penalise speakers for lack of specific knowledge on the topic.

It should be evident from the speech that the speaker has not attempted to write out their speech, word for word, during the 15 minutes preparation period. Speakers who demonstrate a sense of confidence and style, while also making effective use of notes should be rewarded.

Finally, the IPSC is not an English language exam. When adjudicating speakers who have had a limited amount of time to prepare their speeches, in particular; adjudicators should not penalise speakers for occasional grammatical errors, mispronunciations etc.



Marking Schemes

Prepared Speeches

Expression and Delivery – 35 marks
Reasoning and Evidence – 35 marks
Organisation and Prioritisation – 15 marks
Listening and Response – 15 marks

Impromptu Speeches

Expression and Delivery – 40 marks
Reasoning and Analysis – 40 marks
Organisation and Prioritisation – 20 marks

The marking schemes are designed to assist adjudicators when assessing the different aspects or features of a speech (adjudicators should consider the relevant marking scheme in conjunction with the speaker scale).

Adjudicators should not feel constrained by their initial allocation of marks. Adjudication is an inherently subjective pursuit, which cannot be reduced to a purely mathematical process. It requires careful consideration of the discreet categories within the marking scheme, coupled with an ability to balance the strengths and weaknesses of different speakers in different areas.

Adjudicators must engage in a discussion with the rest of the adjudication panel after the competition, justifying their own opinion and allocation of marks, and considering the opinion and allocation of marks of other adjudicators (in an attempt to reach consensus).

Speaker Scale

Excellent – 90-100 marks

Marks should be awarded within this range for a speech that would almost certainly be the winning speech at the grand final of the IPSC. Such a speech should be delivered flawlessly, arguments should be structured to perfection, and the arguments presented should be compelling and supported by comprehensive evidence and/or analysis. The speaker should be uniquely confident and stylistic.

Very Good – 80-90 marks

Marks should be awarded within this range to a speaker who would probably be one of the six speakers in the grand final of the IPSC. Such a speech should be delivered to a very high standard, arguments should be very well structured, and the arguments presented should be supported by solid evidence and/or analysis. The speaker should display confidence and style.

Good – 70-80 marks

Marks should be awarded within this range to a speaker who would probably be one of the 18 speakers in the semi-finals of the IPSC. Such a speech should be delivered to a high standard, arguments should be structured, and arguments should be supported by good evidence and/or analysis.

Average – 60-70 marks

Marks should be awarded within this range to a speaker who gave a reasonable performance, but had a minor fault in one of the categories of the marking scheme.

Below Average – 50-60 marks

Marks should be awarded within this range to a speaker who had minor faults in multiple categories of the marking scheme or a significant fault in one of the categories of the marking scheme.

Poor – 40-50 marks

Marks should be awarded within this range to a speaker who had significant faults in multiple categories of the marking scheme.

The speaker scale is designed to assist adjudicators when assessing a speaker's overall performance (adjudicators should consider the speaker scale in conjunction with the relevant marking scheme).

Feedback

The IPSC is an invaluable opportunity for participants to be exposed to a range of world class speakers and adjudicators, providing them with the chance to learn new skills and improve their public speaking techniques. Adjudicators play an integral part in that educational process, by providing constructive feedback to speakers after the competition.

When giving feedback, adjudicators should bear in mind that each speaker is a national champion and has therefore achieved huge success already by earning their place in the competition. Adjudicators should also bear in mind that, even though there is a certain extent to which adjudication is subjective and intuitive, decisions are more likely to be understood by speakers and coaches if they are justifiable by reference to the objective criteria laid out in this handbook. This also allows speakers to focus on the specific area(s) where there is room for improvement.

Adjudicating is also a valuable learning experience for public speaking and debating coaches in particular. It gives them an insight into how their own speakers can be successful from an adjudicator's point of view. It also hones their skills as coaches and enhances their ability to deconstruct and critique a speech, and give constructive feedback.



NOTES

NOTES

REVIEW

PREVIOUS WINNERS

2012	Marina Hsien Wei Tan	Malaysia
2011	Jeon Wook Kang	South Korea
2010	Moatex El Esrawi	Lebanon
2009	Sebastien Ng Kuet Leong	Mauritius
2008	Gian Carlo Dapul	Philippines
2007	Ali Hussain Saleh Mohammed	Yemen
2006	Konstantin Lazutin	Russia
2005	Peng Xia	China
2004	Patricia Evangelista	Philippines
2003	Palesa Mohapi	South Africa
2002	Sophia Gorgodze	Georgia
2001	Adam Hirschmann	South Africa
2000	Nilakshi Parnidigarmage	Sri Lanka
1999	Sidra Iqbal	Pakistan
1998	Adriana Ionescu	Romania
1997	Hilda Lilie	Latvia
1996	Liu Xin	China
1995	Victoria Gurrall	Belgium
1994	Taryn Moore	Netherlands
1993	Froydis Cameron	Belgium
1992	Pablo d'Anglade	Belgium
1991	Frank Rieter	Netherlands
1990	Mark Hannaby	England & Wales
1989	Veronica Cabedo	Netherlands
1988	Joanne Schotting	England & Wales
1987	Sonia Munnely	England & Wales
1986/5		Winners Unknown
1984	James Bolton	England & Wales
1983	Warren Lee	Australia
1982	Peter Hartcher	Australia
1981		Winner Unknown

COUNTRIES THAT PARTICIPATED IN 2012

Albania	Lithuania
Argentina	Madagascar
Armenia	Malaysia
Australia	Malta
Austria	Mauritius
Bangladesh	Mexico
Belarus†	Moldova
Belgium‡	Monaco‡
Bermuda	Mongolia
Bhutan*	Morocco
Brazil	Netherlands†
Bulgaria	New Zealand
Canada	Norway*
Chile	Pakistan
China†	Philippines
Cyprus	Poland
Denmark	Portugal
England & Wales	Romania
Estonia	Russia
Finland	Rwanda†
France	Scotland
Georgia	Serbia
Germany	South Africa†
Ghana‡	Spain‡
Hong Kong	Sri Lanka
Hungary	Tajikistan‡
Iceland	Thailand
India	Turkey
Japan	Ukraine‡
Korea	USA
Latvia	Yemen
Lebanon	Zimbabwe†

* Honorary
representative

† International partner

‡ Steering Committee

The English-Speaking Union
International Headquarters
Dartmouth House
37 Charles Street
London W1J 5ED

T 020 7529 1550
ipsc@esu.org
www.esu.org